# RIGINA

County Of Los Angeles

Bryan J. Freedman (SBN 151990) bfreedman@ftllp.com Jordan D. Susman (SBN 246116) isusman@ftllp.com FREEDMAN + TAITELMAN, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 500

Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-201-0005

Facsimile: 310-201-0045

JUN 23 2014

5

1

2

3

4

Attorneys for Plaintiff United Talent Agency, Inc.

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

()) 23

24

<sub>~</sub> 25

**- 27** 28

26

/// 111

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

UNITED TALENT AGENCY, INC., a California corporation.

Plaintiff,

POPPY PETAL EMA ELIZABETH DEVERAUX DONAHUE, a/k/a POPPY MONTGOMERY, an individual; TEICHAN PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.

Defendants.

Case No.:

B0549483

COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. BREACH OF CONTRACT
- 2. ACCOUNTING
- 3. QUANTUM MERUIT
- 4. COMMON COUNT FOR SERVICES PERFORMED
- 5. ACCOUNT STATED
- 6. OPEN BOOK ACCOUNT
- 7. DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff United Talent Agency, Inc. ("UTA") complains against Defending from Ema Elizabeth Deveraux Donahue a/k/a Poppy Montgomery, an individual ("Montgomery"

Teichan Productions, Inc. ("Teichan"), and Does I through 20, as follows:

COMPLAINT

SY TA

3 4

5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 2 i

∋ ກ **22** 

≥ 23 ⊖ ⊇4

ري 24 ڪ 25

⊙ <sup>26</sup> ⊢ 27

28

#### **SUMMARY OF ACTION**

1. UTA represented Montgomery as an actor beginning August 2010, during which time UTA negotiated a lucrative deal for her to perform on the hit television series *Unforgettable* (the "Show"). Montgomery appeared on the Show since its first season in 2011, and continues to appear in the Show, which will soon be airing its third season. Montgomery paid commissions due to UTA through and including season one of the Show, and then, for no reason whatsoever, suddenly stopped making payments. Montgomery has not only turned her back on the people who helped her career, but on her contractual obligations to those people.

#### **PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS**

- 2. UTA is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a California corporation, in the business of providing services as a talent agency, with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and duly licensed under the applicable laws of the State of California.
- UTA alleges on information and belief that Montgomery is, and at all times mentioned was, an individual residing in Los Angeles, California.
- 4. UTA alleges on information and belief that Teichan is, and at all times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.
  UTA alleges on information and belief that Teichan is the loanout company for Montgomery.
- 5. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of the defendants named herein as Does 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to UTA which therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. UTA alleges on information and belief that each of the defendants, including those designated as a Doe, are responsible for the events alleged herein and the damages caused thereby as a principal, agent, co-conspirator, aider and abettor, or alter ego. UTA will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such defendants when the same have been ascertained.
- 6. UTA alleges on information and belief that Defendants and the Doe defendants at all times relative to this action were the agents, servants, partners, joint venturers and employees

of each other. Defendants and the Doe defendants, in doing the acts alleged herein, were acting with the knowledge and consent of each of the other defendants in this action.

7. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 393 and 395, this Court is the proper court for trial of this matter because, among other things, Defendants' principal places of business is in Los Angeles County, California and because the county in which the obligations, which are the subject of this Complaint, were incurred, and thus the county in which the subject contracts were to be performed, is Los Angeles County, California.

#### **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

- 8. In or around August 2010, Montgomery and UTA entered into an oral contract whereby Montgomery agreed to pay UTA commissions in accordance with industry custom and practice in exchange for UTA's services to act as her talent agent. Specifically, the oral agreement provided for a ten percent (10%) commission payable to UTA on all income and other monies received by Montgomery or on Montgomery's behalf for any employment contracts entered into or negotiated, or any employment accepted by, Montgomery during the term of representation, as well as commissions on any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment, irrespective of the exercise date of those extensions, renewals or additional seasons (the "Talent Agency Agreement").
- 9. UTA alleged on information and belief that in or around July 1997,
  Montgomery created the Teichan entity to serve as her loanout company. The parties agreed
  that Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA
  under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 10. Shortly after UTA began representing Montgomery, UTA negotiated on Montgomery's behalf and procured employment for Montgomery to appear as an actor on the CBS television series *Unforgettable* (the "Show"). The Show is currently in its third season.
- 11. UTA negotiated a contract for Montgomery to earn \$90,000 per episode for her services on the Show for season one; \$93,600 per episode for season two; and \$97,340 per episode for season three.
  - 12. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA a ten percent commission on

8

7

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

> 23 ປ ພ 24 > 25

 monies earned from the Show through and including season one. Montgomery then suddenly stopped paying UTA its commissions.

- 13. Pursuant to the terms of the Talent Agency Agreement, UTA is entitled to payment of a ten percent commission (or \$9,360 per episode for season two and \$9,734 per episode for season three) on any and all monies which Montgomery receives in connection with seasons two and three of the Show.
- 14. Accordingly, UTA now files this action to recover commissions on monies received by Montgomery in connection with her services on the Show from and including seasons two and three.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Breach of Oral Contract Against All Defendants)

- 15. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 14, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- In or around August 2010, UTA and Montgomery entered into an oral agreement referred to herein as the Talent Agency Agreement, whereby Montgomery agreed to pay UTA commissions pursuant to industry standards in exchange for UTA's services to act as a talent agency representing Montgomery. Specifically, pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement between Montgomery and UTA, Montgomery agreed to pay UTA a ten percent commission on any and all income or monies received as a result of employment contracts entered into, or negotiated, or to any employment accepted by Montgomery during the term of UTA's representation, including without limitation, any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment irrespective of the exercise date of such extensions, renewals or additional seasons.
- 17. The parties agreed that Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 18. UTA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required of it in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Talent Agency Agreement, except those conditions, covenants and promises the performance of which was excused by Defendants'

2 3 4

5

7

9 10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

. ≻ 23 J J 24

ا 26 ع 27

25

28

conduct.

- 19. While Montgomery was represented by UTA, specifically in or around February 2011, Montgomery entered into an agreement to provide acting services on the Show. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA its owed commission through and including season one of the Show and then ceased making payments. UTA is owed ten percent of all monies earned by Montgomery for her services on the Show since and including episode one of season two.
- 20. Despite UTA's demands for payment of said commissions, Defendants have refused to pay UTA the same. Accordingly, Defendants, and each of them, have breached the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 21. As a proximate result of Defendants' breach of the Talent Agency Agreement, UTA has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$248,222.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Accounting Against All Defendants)

- 22. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 23. Defendants received certain sums in connection with her services on the Show, a portion of which is due UTA pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 24. The amount of money due and owing from Defendants to UTA pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement is unknown to UTA and cannot be ascertained without an accounting of the compensation received in connection with the Show.
- 25. Defendants have access to such information, but have not provided UTA with the same. UTA does not have access to such information.
- 26. As a proximate result of Defendants' failure to provide an accounting, an order from the Court requiring Defendants to provide a full accounting of compensation received in connection with the Show is necessary and proper.

2

4 5

6 7

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22 22

ੁ' '≃ 23 ਹ

<sub>ω</sub> 24 ≥ 25

⊕ <sup>26</sup> ---- 27

27 <u>-</u> 28

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Quantum Meruit Against All Defendants)

- 27. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 26, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 28. In or around August 2010, Montgomery engaged UTA to represent her as her talent agency in connection with her work as an actor.
- 29. In the course of UTA's representation of Montgomery, specifically, in or around February 2011, UTA negotiated an employment contract for Montgomery to provide individual acting services on the Show.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendants received certain sums in connection with her services on the Show.
- 31. Despite having demanded payment, Defendants refuse to compensate UTA for its services.
- 32. Defendants have not paid UTA the fair and reasonable value of UTA's services, the value of which UTA will prove at trial on this matter. Such amount is presently due and owing to UTA, together with interest on said amount at the legal rate.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Common Count for Services Performed Against All Defendants)

- 33. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 32, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 34. Within the last two years Defendants, and each of them, have become indebted to UTA in an agreed sum for services provided by UTA at the request of Montgomery and for the benefit of Defendants.
- 35. UTA provided representation and talent agency services at the special request of Montgomery and for the benefit of Defendants, and each of them.
- 36. Despite UTA's repeated demands for payment for said services, Defendants, and each of them, have refused to make payment.
  - 37. An outstanding amount subject to proof at trial is due and owing to UTA by

3

4

5 6

8 9

7

11 12

10

14

13

16

15

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

25 26

24 27 28 Defendants, and each of them.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Account Stated Against All Defendants)

- 38. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 37, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 39. In or around August 2010, UTA and Montgomery entered into an oral agreement referred to herein as the Talent Agency Agreement, whereby Montgomery agreed to pay UTA commissions pursuant to industry standards in exchange for UTA's services as a talent agency representing Montgomery. Specifically, pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement between Montgomery and UTA, Montgomery agreed to pay UTA a ten percent commission on any and all income or monies received as a result of employment contracts entered into, or negotiated, or to any employment accepted by Montgomery during the term of UTA's representation, including without limitation any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment irrespective of the exercise date of such extensions, renewals or additional seasons.
- 40. The parties agreed that Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- UTA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required of it in 41. accordance with the terms and conditions of the Talent Agency Agreement, except those conditions, covenants and promises the performance of which was excused by Defendants' conduct.
- While Montgomery was represented by UTA, specifically in or around February 42. 2011, Montgomery entered into an agreement to provide acting services on the Show. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA its owed commission through and including season one of the Show, and then ceased making payments. UTA is owed ten percent of all monies earned by Defendants for Montgomery's services on the Show since and including episode one of season two.
  - UTA has submitted statements to Defendants for the monies owed pursuant to the 43.

6 7

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

~ 23 ~ 24

< 25 い ⊙ 26

⊙ <sup>20</sup> ⊢ 27 --28 Talent Agency Agreement. Defendants never objected to those statements, and in fact conceded that those amounts were owed. Despite UTA's statements and demands for payment of said commissions, Defendants have refused to pay UTA the same.

44. As a proximate result of Defendants' breach of the Talent Agency Agreement, UTA has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$248,222.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Open Book Account Against All Defendants)

- 45. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 44, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 46. Within the last two years, Defendants have become indebted to UTA on an account for money due for UTA's representation and talent agency services at the special request of Montgomery and for the benefit of Defendants, and each of them.
- 47. Despite UTA's repeated demands for payment for said services, Defendants have refused to make payment.
- 48. An outstanding amount subject to proof at trial is due and owing to UTA by Defendants, and each of them.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (For Declaratory Relief Against All Defendants)

- 49. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 50. UTA contends that it is entitled to a ten percent commission on any and all monies received by Defendants in connection with the Show as a result of the Talent Agency Agreement. Defendants contend that they do not owe UTA such commissions.
- 51. Accordingly, an actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Defendants and UTA regarding commissions owed by Defendants to UTA.
- 52. UTA desires a judicial determination of its rights and duties, and a declaration that Defendants, and each of them, are obligated to pay UTA a ten percent commission on any and all compensation Defendants receive in connection with the Show.

6

9

10 11

12 13

> 14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

- 22 () ( 23

ີ 24 ພ ູ 25

ു വ

 53. A judicial declaration therefore is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order to determine UTA's rights and Defendants' obligations under the Talent Agency Agreement.

WHEREFORE, UTA prays for judgment in its favor and against Defendants as follows:

#### On the First Cause of Action:

- 1. For general damages in an amount to proven at trial.
- 2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

#### On the Second Cause of Action:

- 1. For an accounting by Defendants;
- For payment to UTA of the amount due from Defendants as a result of the accounting;
- 3. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;
- 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

#### On the Third Cause of Action:

- 1. For the specific value of services provided according to proof;
- 2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

#### On the Fourth Cause of Action:

- 1. For general damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### On the Fifth Cause of Action:

- 1. For general damages in an amount to proven at trial;
- 2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;

C

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
,	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
(S) (M)	22	İ
Q) S	23	
$\mathbb{Q}$	24	
1 <sub>0</sub> ,1 <sub>0</sub> / 2 <sub>0</sub> ,	25	
2 0	26	
<del> </del>	27	
Ţ.	28	

- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 4.

#### On the Sixth Cause of Action:

- 1. For general damages in an amount to proven at trial;
- 2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

#### On the Seventh Cause of Action:

- For an order declaring that Defendants are obligated to pay UTA ten percent 1. commission on all compensation Defendants receive from the Show now and in the future;
  - 2. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
  - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: June 23, 2014

FREEDMAN + TAITELMAN, LLP

Bryan J. Freedman Jordan D. Susman

Attorneys for Plaintiff United Talent

Agency, Inc.

# ORIGINAL

		CM-01
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, States) Bryan J. Freedman (SBN 151990) Jordan D. Susman (SBN 246116) FREEDMAN + TAITELMAN, L 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 5	) LP	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Los Angeles, California 90067  TELEPHONE NO. 310-201-0005  ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff United 7	FAX No.: 310-201-0045 Salent Agency, Inc.	FILED Superior Court Of California County Of Los Angeles
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, Calif BRANCH NAME: Central District		JUN 23 2014 Sherri K. Lurier, Lacquist Small Control of the State of the Society Clerk
ELIZABETH DEVERAUX DONAHUE, a/k/a PC	INC., a California corporation vs. POPPY PETAL EMA PPY MONTGOMERY, an individual, et al.	By Kristina Vargas, Deputy
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  X Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Complex Case Designation  Counter Joinder	CASE NUMBER: 5 4 9 4 8 3
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JUDGE: DEPT:
Items 1-	6 below must be completed (see instructions on	page 2).

Check one box below for the case type that	ow must be completed (see instruction	s on page 2).		
Auto Tort				
Auto (22)	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
Uninsured motorist (46)	X Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,400-3,403)		
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)		
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)		
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37) Real Property	Securities litigation (28)		
Medical malpractice (45)	· ·	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case		
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	lypes (41)		
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment		
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Enforcement of judgment (20)		
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
Intellectual property (19)		RICO (27)		
Professional negligence (25)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition		
Employment (35)	Asset forfelture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)		
Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
	Writ of mandate (02)	i		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
2. This case is X is not compl	ex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	iles of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	- of wita annua		
and the state of t				
	• —	with related actions pending in one or more courts		
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other countries, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence 1. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive				
Number of causes of action (specify): Seven (7) Breach of Contract, Accounting, Quantum Meruit, etc.				
(5) This case  is  is  is not a class action suit.				
6. If there are any known related cases, file ar	id serve a notice of related case (You	may µse jejih CM-015.)		
Date: June 23, 2014		101		
Bryan J. Freedman	7/10(			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		IGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)		
a Plaintiff must file this server short with the fi	NOTICE	(		
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result				
l in sanctions				
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.				
I-• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et sed, of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a convior this cover sheet on all 1				
other parties to the action or proceeding.  Unless this is a collections case under rule		i i		
- Chicago this is a conection's case differ file	5.7-0 or a complex case, this cover sn	eet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2		

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 (Rev. July 1, 2007)

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Legal Solutions Cat. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740 Cat. Standards of Judicial Administration, sid. 3.10



To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

#### Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Negligent Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

-Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

haressment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

- Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

**Employment** 

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

#### Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** 

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antifrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment** 

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

haressment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief from Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

SHORT TITLE: United Talent Agency v. Poppy Montgomery, et al.

CASE NUMBER BC549483

#### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
   May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
   Location where cause of action arose.
   Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
   Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
   Location where petitioner resides.
   Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
   Location where one or more of the parties reside.
   Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A Gyll Gase CoverSpeat # Categor Nor	B Sype of Action (encek onlynome)	Applicable Rées See Step 3 Ab
Aulo (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or loxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Maipractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongfut Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)  A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)  A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress  A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LAČIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

ⓒ

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0

Page 1 of 4

LA-CV109

SHORT TITLE: United Talent Agency v. Poppy Montgomery, et al. Business Tort (07) A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract) Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort Civil Rights (08) A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination 1., 2., 3. Defamation (13) A6010 Defamation (slander/libel) 1., 2., 3. Fraud (16) A6013 Fraud (no contract) 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3. A6017 Legal Malpractice Professional Negligence (25) A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) 1., 2., 3. Other (35) 2.,3. A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort Employment 1., 2., 3. Wrongful Termination (36) A6037 Wrongful Termination A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case 1., 2., 3. Other Employment (15) A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful 2., 5. eviction) Breach of Contract/ Warranty 2., 5. A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) (06)1., 2., 5. (not insurance) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) 1.(2)5. X A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) Contract 2., 5., 6. A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff Collections (09) A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 2., 5. A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1., 2., 5., 8. Insurance Coverage (18) A6009 Contractual Fraud 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. A6031 Tortious Interference Other Contract (37) A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 1., 2., 3., 8. Eminent Domain/Inverse Number of parcels A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Condemnation (14) Property A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case 2., 6. Wrongful Eviction (33) 2.. 6. A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure Real 2., 6. Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title  $\odot$ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Commercial A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) Unlawful Detainer Unlawful Detainer-Residential 2. 6. A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)  $\langle \chi \rangle$ 

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

 $\odot$ 

Unlawful Detainer-

Post-Foreclosure (34)

Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)

#### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure

A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs

2., 6.

2., 6.

SHORT TITLE: United Talent Agency v. Poppy Montgomery, et al. CASE NUMBER

			<del></del>
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	B Type of Adloni Garak enly one)	© -ApplicationRessonse ScolStep (Apovo a
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
víew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A5115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ation	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A5003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
× Litig	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
эшые	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
o all≯ C	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6030 Declaratory Relief Only  A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)  A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)  A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., B. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
①	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
○ 乙 / ミ 건 / ⊝ Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	A6121 Civil Harassment  A6123 Workplace Harassment  A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case  A6190 Election Contest  A6110 Petition for Change of Name  A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law  A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0

Page 3 of 4

short TITLE: United Talent Age	ncy v. Poppy Montgomery	/, et al. CASE NUMBER
Item III. Statement of Location circumstance indicated in Item	n: Enter the address of the acc m II., Step 3 on Page 1, as	sident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: Check the appropriate under Column C for the type of a this case.	boxes for the numbers shown ction that you have selected for	ADDRESS: 9336 Civic Center Drive
□1: 🗓 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5.	. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10.	
CITY:	STATE: ZIP CODE:	
Beverly Hills	CA 90210	·
and correct and that the above-	entitled matter is properly filed for of the Superior Court of Califorr	perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true or assignment to the <u>Stanley Mosk</u> courthouse in the nia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Loca
	=	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

## PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

Bryan J. Freedman

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filling a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.