24

25

26

27

28

Bryan J. Freedman (SBN 151990) Jordan D. Susman (SBN 246116) FREEDMAN + TAITELMAN, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 500

RECEIVED

SEP 1 5 2014

State of California Labor Commissioner San Francisco Licensing & Registration

Attorneys for Petitioner United Talent Agency, Inc.

BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 37058 UNITED TALENT AGENCY, INC., a California corporation, PETITION TO DETERMINE **CONTROVERSY** Petitioner, (Labor Code Section 1700.44) ν. POPPY PETAL EMA ELIZABETH DEVERAUX DONAHUE, a/k/a POPPY MONTGOMERY, an individual; TEICHAN PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Respondents.

Petitioner United Talent Agency, Inc. ("Petitioner" or "UTA") hereby files this Petition to Determine Controversy (the "Petition") pursuant to the authority of Section 1700.44 of the California Labor Code and the applicable provisions of the California Code of Regulations. Petitioner submits this matter for resolution by the Labor Commissioner, reserving any and all legal and equitable rights against Respondents which are not within the jurisdiction of the Labor

4

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28

111

PARTIES

- 1. Petitioner is, and was at all times mentioned herein, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Further, Petitioner is, and was at all times mentioned herein, a talent agency duly licensed pursuant to the laws of the State of California
- Petitioner is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Poppy Petal Ema Elizabeth Deveraux Donahue a/k/a Poppy Montgomery ("Montgomery") is and was at all times mentioned herein a resident of Los Angeles County. At all times mentioned herein, Montgomery was and is now an "artist" as that term is defined by California Labor Code sections 1700.5 et seq. (collectively the "Talent Agencies Act."). See CAL. LABOR CODE § 1700.4(b). Montgomery is an actress regularly working in the entertainment industry and, accordingly, is represented by entertainment counsel, business managers, and agents regularly conducting business on her behalf in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 3. Petitioner is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Respondent Teichan Productions, Inc. ("Teichan") is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County. Petitioner further is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Teichan is, and was at all times mentioned herein, a personal services company, loaning out certain of Montgomery services as an actress in the entertainment industry.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of the respondents named herein as Does 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to UTA which therefore petitions against said respondents by such fictitious names. UTA alleges on information and belief that each of the respondents, including those designated as a Doe, are responsible for the events alleged herein and the damages caused thereby as a principal, agent, co-conspirator, aider and abettor, or alter ego. UTA will seek leave of the Labor Commissioner to amend this Petition to allege the true names and capacities of such respondents when the same have been ascertained.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. In or around August 2010, Montgomery and UTA entered into an oral contract whereby Montgomery agreed to pay UTA commissions in accordance with industry custom and practice in exchange for UTA's services to act as her talent agent. Specifically, the oral agreement provided for a ten percent (10%) commission payable to UTA on all income and other monies received by Montgomery or on Montgomery's behalf for any employment contracts entered into or negotiated, or any employment accepted by, Montgomery during the term of representation, as well as commissions on any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment, irrespective of the exercise date of those extensions, renewals or additional seasons (the "Talent Agency Agreement").
- 6. UTA alleges on information and belief that in or around July 1997,
 Montgomery created Teichan to serve as her loan-out company. The parties agreed that
 Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA
 under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 7. Shortly after UTA began representing Montgomery, UTA negotiated on Montgomery's behalf and procured employment for Montgomery to appear as an actor on the CBS television series *Unforgettable* (the "Show"). The Show is currently in its third season.
- 8. UTA negotiated a contract for Montgomery to earn \$90,000 per episode for her services on the Show for season one; \$93,600 per episode for season two; and \$97,340 per episode for season three.
- 9. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA a ten percent commission on monies earned from the Show through and including season one. Montgomery then suddenly stopped paying UTA its commissions.
- 10. Pursuant to the terms of the Talent Agency Agreement, UTA is entitled to payment of a ten percent commission (or \$9,360 per episode for season two and \$9,734 per episode for season three) on any and all monies which Montgomery receives in connection with seasons two and three of the Show.
 - 11. Accordingly, UTA now files this petition to recover commissions on monies

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

received by Montgomery in connection with her services on the Show from and including seasons two and three.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Breach of Oral Contract Against All Respondents)

- UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in the 12. preceding paragraphs of this Petition as if set forth fully herein.
- 13. In or around August 2010, UTA and Montgomery entered into an oral agreement referred to herein as the Talent Agency Agreement, whereby Montgomery agreed to pay UTA commissions pursuant to industry standards in exchange for UTA's services to act as a talent agency representing Montgomery. Specifically, pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement between Montgomery and UTA, Montgomery agreed to pay UTA a ten percent commission on any and all income or monies received as a result of employment contracts entered into, or negotiated, or to any employment accepted by Montgomery during the term of UTA's representation, including without limitation, any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment irrespective of the exercise date of such extensions. renewals or additional seasons.
- 14. The parties agreed that Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 15. UTA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required of it in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Talent Agency Agreement, except those conditions, covenants and promises the performance of which was excused by Respondents' conduct.
- 16. While Montgomery was represented by UTA, specifically in or around February 2011, Montgomery entered into an agreement to provide acting services on the Show. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA its owed commission through and including season one of the Show and then ceased making payments. UTA is owed ten percent of all monies earned by Montgomery for her services on the Show since and including episode one of season two.

Montgomery and UTA, Montgomery agreed to pay UTA a ten percent commission on any and all income or monies received as a result of employment contracts entered into, or negotiated, or to any employment accepted by Montgomery during the term of UTA's representation, including without limitation any extensions, renewals or additional seasons of said employment contracts or employment irrespective of the exercise date of such extensions, renewals or additional seasons.

- 37. The parties agreed that Teichan would be jointly and severally liable for all of Montgomery's obligations to UTA under the Talent Agency Agreement.
- 38. UTA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required of it in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Talent Agency Agreement, except those conditions, covenants and promises the performance of which was excused by Respondents' conduct.
- 39. While Montgomery was represented by UTA, specifically in or around February 2011, Montgomery entered into an agreement to provide acting services on the Show. Montgomery (by and through Teichan) paid UTA its owed commission through and including season one of the Show, and then ceased making payments. UTA is owed ten percent of all monies earned by Respondents for Montgomery's services on the Show since and including episode one of season two.
- 40. UTA has submitted statements to Respondents for the monies owed pursuant to the Talent Agency Agreement. Respondents never objected to those statements, and in fact conceded that those amounts were owed. Despite UTA's statements and demands for payment of said commissions, Respondents have refused to pay UTA the same.
- 41. As a proximate result of Respondents' breach of the Talent Agency Agreement, UTA has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$248,222.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Open Book Account Against All Respondents)

42. UTA re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Petition as if set forth fully herein.

4.

28

For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.

On the Sec	ond Cause of Action:		
1.	1. For an accounting by Respondents;		
2.	For payment to UTA of the amount due from Respondents as a result of the		
	accounting;		
3.	For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;		
4.	For costs of the hearing; and		
5.	For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper		
n the Thi	rd Cause of Action:		
1.	For the value of services provided according to proof;		
2.	For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;		
3.	. For costs of the hearing; and		
4.	For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.		
n the Fou	erth Cause of Action:		
1.	For general damages in an amount to be proven at the hearing;		
2.	For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;		
3.	For costs of the hearing; and		
4.	For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.		
the Fift	h Cause of Action:		
1.	For general damages in an amount to proven at the hearing;		
2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;			
3.	For costs of the hearing; and		
4.	For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.		
n the Sixt	th Cause of Action:		
1.	For general damages in an amount to proven at the hearing;		
2. For pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law;			
3. For costs of the hearing; and			
4.	4. For such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.		
n the Sev	enth Cause of Action:		
1.	For an order declaring that Respondents are obligated to pay UTA ten percent		
	9 DETERMINATION		
	PETITION		

	,			
1	commission	commission on all compensation Respondents receive from the Show now and in the future;		
2	2.	For costs of the hearing; and		
3	3.	For such other and furth	ner relief as the hearing officer may deem just and proper.	
4				
5	DATED: Se	eptember 9, 2014	FREEDMAN + TAITELMÂN, LLP	
6				
7			By:	
8			Bryan J. Freedman Jordan D. Susman Attorneys for Petitioner United Talent	
9			Attorney's for Petitioner United Talent Agency, Inc.	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			10	
	PETITION			